Current assessment of Hemospermia
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Introduction/Aim: Hemospermia is defined as the presence of red blood cells in the semen. Although hemospermia is a rare sign, its presence is annoying and worrisome for patients. In addition, it is often overlooked by healthcare professionals due to its short duration and to its presumably benign origin. Currently, there are no set guidelines regarding the evaluation of hemospermia that would allow a definitive diagnosis, and only limited evidence is available. The aim of this review is to investigate the current trends on the assessment and management of hemospermia.

Materials and Methods: The present review was based on a search in the relevant Greek and international bibliography. The PubMed database was searched for bibliographic data. The keywords used were “hemospermia” in combination with “etiology” and “treatment”. Search was done by title, abstract or keywords.

Results: The actual incidence of hemospermia is unknown; however, it appears to be more common in men under the age of 40. It is usually a sign of short duration and is self-limited; hence, it often does not require further evaluation or treatment. When evaluated in men younger than 40 without risk factors, hemospermia is usually associated with benign causes and in the majority of cases responds well to treatment. In patients with risk factors and/or associated symptoms, hemospermia usually recurs and may not respond to treatment. In men over 40 years of age with persistent or recurrent hemospermia, systemic diseases and malignant conditions associated with hematospermia may be present. In rare cases hemospermia may be the only symptom of uncommon diseases.

Conclusions: Hemospermia has been linked with a variety of conditions. In-depth investigation may be intricate, and it should be performed in persistent or recurrent cases before definitive diagnosis.
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Introduction

In normal conditions red blood cells are not detectable in the semen. Hemospermia (hematospermia), the presence of blood in the ejaculate, is a rather rare sign/symptom. Hemospermia is annoying and worrisome for patients, but is often overlooked as a minor symptom for which diagnostic investigation is not considered necessary. However, hemospermia may be associated with serious conditions like neoplasia and infection. Until recently hemospermia was considered primary in most cases, though a better understanding of the pathophysiology of the urogenital system and advances in medical imaging and laboratory techniques have made possible to determine an underlying cause to as much as 85% of the cases. Thus, cases that were attributable to excessive masturbation, to prolonged sexual abstention, or to intense sexual intercourse and were not considered significant were demonstrated to have a clinical background (e.g., prostatic lithiasis, infection or combination of the two). Yet, there are still unknown aspects of this condition, like for example its true incidence or underlying mechanisms hemospermia. The purpose of this study is to present the current knowledge about diagnosis and management of hemospermia.

Methods

The present review was based on a search in the relevant international bibliography. The PubMed database was searched for bibliographic data. The keywords used were “hemospermia/hematospermia” in combination with “etiology” “diagnosis”, “workup” and “treatment”. Search was done by title, abstract or keywords.

Results and discussion

1-Incidence

The exact incidence of hemospermia remains unknown as most men do not observe their sperm and therefore many episodes remain unnoticed. Moreover, it is difficult to quantify and to grade hemospermia as ejaculate is not always visible and measurable. It is also difficult to know the true relative frequency of the causes of hemospermia because patients present to healthcare workers after a single episode of hemospermia out of concern for malignancy or venereal disease. Moreover, most publications tends to favour the reporting of new or unusual causes of hemospermia. The occurrence of hemospermia appears to be higher in men under the age of 40. In many cases (about 40%) hemospermia involves infectious or inflammatory conditions such as chronic prostatitis, epididymitis, orchitis and urethritis. Sexual attitudes are also often involved in the generation of hemospermia (about 30%). The most important risk factors for hemospermia include a history of urogenital cancer, disorders of the urogenital system and coagulation disorders. Common causes include urogenital trauma, calcification of the seminal vesicles and prostate, history of radiation in the pelvic region, and urogenital cancer (prostate, testis and rarely bladder). Rarely, hemospermia is associated to local vascular malformations (such as distended veins in the prostatic urethra), systemic diseases (amyloidosis, lupus, etc.), chronic liver disease and hypertension. Causes (behavioral and non-behavioral) and underlying conditions generating hemospermia often concur with each other.

No specific guidelines exist for diagnosis and management of hemospermia, and only few diagnostic algorithms have been published up to date...

2-Causes

Urethritis (mainly caused by sexually transmitted pathogens such as Chlamydia trachomatis, Ureaplasma urealyticum or common pathogens such as Enterococcus faecalis) has been identified as a major cause of hemospermia, especially in younger men (Table 1). Some authors, however, suggest that hemospermia can be a manifestation of complicated urethritis. In such a case, urethral strictures are the main underlying factor for this condition. Epididymitis and orchitis are reputed to be the cause of late hemospermia in 30% of patients Common pathogens and sexually transmitted pathogens are the source of infection. Other infectious causes include tuberculosis, HSV infection, HIV infection, and cytomegalovirus infection. Hemospermia in patients with urogenital tuberculosis ranges between 3.3 and 14% and shows geographical distribution. Schistosomiasis and Parasitic infection caused by Echinococcus have also been reported as causes of hemospermia. Although viral papillomas are the most common neoplasms of the urethra (67.3%), they do not commonly cause urethral hemospermia. Few cases of hemospermia due to human papilloma virus (HPV) and Zika virus infections...
have been reported,

Hemospermia was found to be as the primary clinical manifestation in 26.2% of chronic prostatitis cases, though it is believed that more cases of prostatitis can present with associated hemospermia. In fact, the diagnostic procedure of patients presenting with hemospermia is usually limited to urinalysis with research for sexually transmitted infections, and does not include systematic methods for the evaluation of a potentially underlying chronic prostatitis. Thus, data focusing on the relationship between hemospermia and chronic prostate infection are scant. Two recent studies using powerful prostatitis assessment methods associated more than half (>50%) of hemospermia-assessed cases with underlying chronic prostatitis. The pathogens most commonly associated with hemospermia are *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Ureaplasma urealyticum*. Underlying conditions such as prostatic calcifications and granulomas may add to the risk for the development of prostatitis-associated hemospermia. In fact, prostatic calcifications are highly prevalent among patients with chronic prostatitis, and may often cause mechanical and chemical corrosive effects on the surrounding tissue. A TRUS-based study demonstrated a 47.05% incidence of periurethral calcifications and calcifications of the two glandular lobes in patients with hemospermia. Similarly, granulomas are believed to be caused by a blockage of prostatic ducts leading to stasis of prostate gland secretions, subsequently resulting in an inflammatory response. It has been demonstrated that hemospermia can be an accompanying symptom of xanthogranulomatous prostatitis in 40% of cases.

In a study by Yu and coworkers, inflammation and concomitant swelling of seminal vesicles were associated with up to 69.5% of cases of hemospermia. Hemospermia usually lasts several months and in a small percentage of cases (1.1%) recurs after treatment. In such a case, *struvite* (magnesium ammonium phosphate) stones within the seminal vesicles may be the main causative determinant.

Other risk factors include cysts and polyps of seminal vesicles, uricule cysts, as well as inflammation of the posterior urethra and prostate carcinoma.

Sexual attitudes such as excessive masturbation, prolonged sexual abstinence, and prolonged/interrupted sexual intercourses have been linked to hemospermia. Certainly, the role of sexual behavior as a cause of hemospermia is not easy to determine because its evaluation is based on patients’ report and for this reason there are limited studies examining this topic. The most possible explanation for blood in the ejaculate following excessive sexual intercourse or masturbation is the presence of a ruptured blood vessel, as the epididymal duct can become unable to recover its normal function after repeated ejaculations. Usually the condition resolves without any intervention in about 1-2 months. Cases of hemospermia due to prolonged sexual abstinence were shown to have an underlying clinical condition (e.g., prostatic lithiasis, infection or urethral venous malformation). However, in about half of these cases underlying conditions remained unknown, likely due to poor patient evaluation.

Urethral and prostatic teleangiomas and varicose veins of the bladder neck are rare vascular malformations which may be sometimes associated with hemospermia (10.3% of cases). In these cases, hemospermia is accompanied by urethral haemorrhage and final hematuria (usually after sexual intercourse or intense exercise). In addition, hemospermia has been reported in a patient with congenital arteriovenous malformation of the internal ileal vessels.

Coagulation disorders related to hemospermia may be congenital or acquired. Congenital bleeding disorders such as haemophilia A, prothrombin deficiency, factor V deficiency and von Willebrand disease, rarely cause hemospermia which usually occurs when underlying conditions are present. While therapy with anticoagulants is a common cause of unwanted bleeding, only few cases of hemospermia associated with clopidogrel and aspirin use have been reported. Notably, low dose aspirin usage has not been associated with hemospermia in patients undergoing prostate biopsy.

Iatrogenic haemospermia may occur frequently after prostate or urethral surgery. The most common cause for such event is prostate biopsy regardless of the technique adopted, and its incidence according to bibliographic references is ± 50%. Iatrogenic haemospermia presents in a mild form and lasts for about one month (4 ± 1.4 weeks). According to some researchers, no clinical or pathologic parameter (e.g., serum PSA, DRE, Gleason score) can predict its appearance or duration, though the presence of prostatic calculi appears to be an independent risk factor. Ultrasonic guided transrectal implantation (i) of radionuclide markers into the prostate for image-guided radiotherapy of prostate cancer or (ii) of brachytherapy granules for the treatment for prostate cancer were associated with hemospermia in 3.2-13% of cases. Transurethral prostatectomy (for treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia) and pelvic radiation
were linked with hemospermia in 2.5-17% of the cases. Sclerotherapy injections for haemorrhoid treatment, urethral trauma (iatrogenic or non-iatrogenic) caused by insertion of objects into the urethra, urethral injury following male coital trauma and testicular and perineal injuries as well, were also found to induce hemospermia in a limited number of patients. Benign urethral lesions such as papillary urethritis are more commonly associated with the occurrence of hemospermia (26%), compared to primary malignant lesions of the testicles, prostate (0.5-6.5%) and seminal vesicles (extremely rare). A case of adenomyosis and a case of squamous cell carcinoma of the seminal vesicle, as well as a case of metastasis to the seminal vesicles of renal cell carcinoma presenting with hemospermia have been also reported. Systemic disorders that have been connected to hemospermia include malignant hypertension, chronic liver disease, amyloidosis, hyperuricemia and lymphoma. All of the above conditions have been reported in association with hemospermia as individual cases or in small numbers of patients.

Differential diagnosis includes cases of pseudo-hemospermia, manifesting in the form of sperm mixed with blood (origin: hematuria, menstruation of the sexual partner) or colored/stained semen (melanospermia due to the presence of melanin in the rare case of primary or metastatic melanoma in the prostate or seminal vesicles). Semen analysis may be useful for differentiating actual hemospermia from the above mentioned conditions.

The aim of clinical evaluation is to identify the underlying causes of hemospermia. Because of the paucity of research literature, there is little evidence on which basis clinicians can evaluate patients with hemospermia. On one hand, accumulated evidence shows that hemospermia is more likely to be due to benign causes. On the other hand, individual cases and small cases series demonstrated that hemospermia can be caused by bladder, prostate or systemic malignancies. Given the variety of the aetiology and the rarity of certain causes, a “universal workup” of hematospermia can be cumbersome, time-consuming and less likely to be productive. Therefore, an efficient evaluation should equally focus on the patient (age, habits, sexual history, medication and medical history) and the symptom (presentation, seriousness, duration and associated symptoms). In men younger than 40 years of age, with no harmful habits, no medication intake, non-conclusive medical history, and in the absence of risk factors, hemospermia is almost never a sign of cancer, especially when it regresses.

### Table 1: Aetiology of hemospermia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection (prostatitis, urethritis, epididymitis &amp; orchitis):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Bacterial (e.g. gonorrhea, enterococcal, staphylococcal, Ureaplasma, Chlamydia, tuberculosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Viral (e.g. human immunodeficiency virus - HIV, Cytomegalovirus - CMV, Herpes simplex virus - HSV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Parasitic (schistosomiasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic trauma or irritation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Post-transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Post-prostatectomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non iatrogenic trauma or irritation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Coital trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Prolonged abstinence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malignancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Prostate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Bladder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Testicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Urethra</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Obstruction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Ductal obstruction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Cysts of seminal vesicles/Wolffian duct/utricle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Calculi of seminal vesicles, ejaculatory duct, prostate, urethra</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systemic disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Chronic liver disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Leukaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Amyloidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Bleeding disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; Idiopathic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(for treatment of bladder, prostate and rectal cancer) were linked with hemospermia in 2.5-17% of the cases. Scierotherapy injections for haemorrhoid treatment, urethral trauma (iatrogenic or non-iatrogenic) caused by insertion of objects into the urethra, urethral injury
spontaneously after a first episode\(^5\). In contrast, in men over 40 years of age having a risk factor (e.g. bleeding disorder, acquired anatomical abnormalities of the urogenital system) hemospermia is usually persistent or recurrent and may not respond to treatment\(^4\). In such a case it is necessary to subject the patient to laboratory and instrumental tests in order to find an optimal treatment. Intermediate conditions, e.g. in patients under the age of 40 with limited incidents, are often evaluated for common urinary tract diseases\(^4\).

Another factor that dictates the depth/extent of the evaluation and treatment is the concomitant presence of any associated symptom. Accompanying symptoms include local pain or discomfort, urinary symptoms or systemic symptoms such as tiredness or fatigue. They are usually associated with non-self-limiting situations requiring additional assessments. In particular, local pain or ejaculatory pain may be associated with prostatitis, urgency and painful urination may indicate urethritis, whereas a decreased volume of ejaculate is associated with prostatitis or obstruction of ejaculatory ducts. Concomitant haematuria may indicate malignancy of the bladder or prostate, as well as morphological abnormalities. On the other side, systemic symptoms (e.g. weight loss, night sweats, severe uncontrolled hypertension, chills, bone pain) may indicate a severe neoplastic or infectious source.

Simple clinical examination may reveal elevated blood pressure, fever (that escaped the patient’s attention) and indicates infection, malignancy or another systemic cause. Examination of the inguinal region may reveal lymph node enlargement associated with infection or urogenital tumors. Examination of the scrotum may reveal swelling of tumor of the epididymis or the testicle, while digital rectal examination of the prostate may show infection or tumor. The penis (foreskin and glans) should also be carefully examined to rule out any bleeding lesion, possibly contributing to hemospermia, and the urethral orifice should be examined for secretions in order to rule out urethritis\(^7\)

A single episode of painless hemospermia in younger patients, with no associated symptoms and presenting after prolonged sexual intercourse is probably of benign origin. In such a case, hemospermia is usually self-limiting and further evaluation or treatment may be unnecessary. In contrast, patients with painful hemospermia and/or associated symptoms—especially those with risk factors—should undergo white blood count, biochemical tests, assessment of inflammation and cancer markers—including PSA—evaluation for

---

**Figure 1** Algorithm for the hemospermia evaluation in absence of accompanying symptoms

- **< 40 years of age with single hemospermia with no risk factors**
  - Clinical examination
  - Finding yes: Treatment
  - Finding no: Observation

- **< 40 years of age and recurrent hemospermia and risk factors**
  - Clinical examination
  - Evaluation for common urinary tract diseases
  - Finding yes: Treatment
  - Finding no: Observation

- **> 40 years of age, And/or recurrent hemospermia And/or risk factors**
  - Clinical examination
  - Thorough evaluation
bleeding disorders (and coagulation studies if necessary), urinalysis and urine culture (for specific infections if necessary) with antibiogram, urine cytology, prostate secretion and/or semen culture and cytology. If a sexually-transmitted infection is suspected, culture of urethral secretions and focused tests (e.g. nucleic acid amplification test for Chlamydia) should be performed. If tuberculosis or schistosomiasis are the suspected causes of hemospermia, urine and semen analysis for acid-fast bacilli and parasites could be performed.

Abdominal ultrasound may not be recommended as routine tests in patients initially presenting with hemospermia. However, ultrasound is necessary for evaluating older patients or those with persistent hemospermia or associated symptoms. In addition, scrotal ultrasound and TRUS are valuable for the visualization of testicles, seminal vesicles, prostate, and ampullary portions of the vas deferens. Simple or flexible cystoscopy, abdominal CT and MRI may be also required.

Figure 1 shows a simple algorithm for evaluation and management of hemospermia, in patients younger or older than 40 years, presenting with or without specific symptoms or risk factors.

Conclusions

On the basis of limited published evidence, particularly regarding the correlation of hemospermia with other, clinical recommendations are limited to level C (limited evidence available). Key factors in the evaluation of this condition are age, duration of symptoms and associated symptoms or risk factors.

> For patients under the age of 40 who have a single episode of hemospermia and no risk factors or associated symptoms, observation is usually the most appropriate management strategy.

> In men aged 40 years or over, patients who have associated symptoms or those who have persistent hemospermia, the evaluation should be more extensive and should include assessment for underlying prostate cancer.

> Men in whom an etiology is not elucidated require monitoring within three to six months to...
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